1890-011 Chancery Causes: Dora C. Bishop by &c vs Robert A. Bishop
Lee Co.

Baker, Duncan, Martin

CA-Separate Maintenance
T-Property

To the Hon A. S. L. Morrison
Judge of the Circuit Court
of Lee County Va.
Dora C. Bishop, wife of
Robert A. Bishop, who
sues by Wm A. Baker her
next friend - Humbly com-
plaining, would respectfully
represent, that about 8 years
ago, she was married to her
said husband Robert A.
Bishop, with whom she lived
about four years, when
without cause, he abandon-
ed her, and went to the
Western part of the United
States, where for the past
four years he has continu-
ed to remain - Your orator
has in these long years, had
to support and maintain her-
self as best she could, having
also her infant daughter, to
care for & maintain - Her said
husband not contributing
anything towards her support
Your orator has waited, &
so far waited in vain, for
a return of her said husband

to his family and domestic relations - She now feels that the chances for his return, are too slim for her longer to contend with the hard duties of life without aid from him.

The father of her said husband Elisha Bishop recently departed this life, and willed and devised, to her said husband a valuable tract of land, situated in this County, known as the Isaac T. Bishop farm and is properly described in the deed of said Isaac T. Bishop to the said Elisha, and now of record in this County, adjoining the lands of wm. McNeil & others some 6 or 8 miles S. W. from Jonesville, wherein, one James Bruce is now residing.

Your alleges that this farm is not more than a reasonable allowance, for her support & maintenance & that of her infant daughter, whose care and education wholly devolves upon your orator. She does

not wish a seperation from her said husband but only a support and maintaince, and for that purpose she seeks to have said lands sequestered and held liable therefor and the title thereof, devested and re-invested in your oratrix in fee for her sole and seperate use - And pending this litigation, that a receiver be appointed to take charge of management & Control the same for the use & benefit of your oratrix, and on a final hearing that said land be decreed over to her seperate & sole use, and that the title to the same be vested in her as a for her reasonable support & maintainance. And this is the extent of the relief herein sought.

The premises considered therefore she prays that Robert A. Bishop her said husband be made a party defendant to this bill and answer its allegations on oath, and upon a hearing a decree be rendered, giving your oratrix an ample & reasonable

support; that said Estate be
decreed over to her for her sole
seperate use & occupancy, in
fee; and that all rents and
profits, hereafter accruing thereon
be decreed over to her & that a
receiver be appointed to hold
the same until final decree.
But if in any way mis-
taken as to the amount she is
entitled to then that this Honorable
Court make her such allowance
and for such times as she may
in a Court of equity be en-
titled to - And for all other
further & general relief may
pray is due &c.

A. L. Prideaux

Virginia I Ice County to wit. C. C.
This day Dora C. Bishop per-
sonally appeared before me
the subscriber and made
oath that the allegations of the
foregoing bill so far as made
upon her own knowledge are true
and so far as made upon inform-
ation derived from others she
believes to be true. Given under
my hand this Jan. 3. 1888.

John R. Gibson, Clerk.

Lalk 10.25 Ann
S. .50
Ct 15.00
Pr. 5.00
C & L 1.40
$31.15

Estimate 6.00
$37.15
33.74
$70.89

P.

Dora C. Bishop

v. Bill in Chy

Foreign Attach.

Robert A. Bishop

1880 to 1890

B.

Dora C. Bishop byte pff
against
Robert Bishop deft
and
Hamblin & Orr
against
Robert A. Bishop

In Chy

In Chy

On the motion of the pff these causes came on to be again heard together this day upon the papers formerly read and the report of J. M. Morgan this day filed to which there are no exceptions and was argued by Counsel on consideration whereof and for reasons appearing to the Court J. W. Orr one of the pff in the second styled cause admitting in open court that he has received the balance in full of Hamblin out the purchase money due Robert A. Bishop on his sale & Orr judgement which was a lien upon said land at the time of the filing the pffs bill in the first styled cause. It is therefore adjudged ordered and decreed that Dora C. Bishop recover from & that J. M. Morgan Comr. pay to her the sum of $90.65 the amount now in his hand arising from

renting made by him it appearing that said Dora C. has not heretofore received any thing from said rentings. And the land having been now sold by the said Robert A. & Dora C. Bishop to said Hyatt all rates for rentings now held by him under the former rentings and said Hyatt & said Cerro are relieved from further carrying out said rentings And no further action being necessary the cause is stricken from the docket.

Dora C. Bishop
vs
Cerro Gordo
Robert A. Bishop
Mar. 7. 1890
Costs Chg. 8.75
Hyatt Robt
Hyatt 8.00

Entered this
Apr. 2. 1890
P. S. Hyatt

Dora C Bishop by c Pepp vs Robt A Bishop Dft. - chy.
Hamblen v Orr Pepp vs Same Dft. - chy.
These causes came on again to be heard upon the papers formerly read in the causes, and was argued by Counsel. and an motion of J. N. G. Hyatt, who rented the land in these causes mentioned, and by Consent of plaintiffs, the renting to said Hyatt is Cancelled and annulled after the present year, and Com. Morgan will cancel and deliver to said Hyatt his notes for said renting after the present year, and out of the fund to be collected for said rent, including the present year, said Com. Morgan will pay to the plaintiffs Hamblen v Orr the balance of their claim, and the residue he will pay to the plaintiff Dora C Bishop; and it is further ordered that said Dora C Bishop have the possession and use of the land in the bill mentioned in payment of the amount heretofore decreed her for her support & maintainance. And the causes and continued.

Dord & Bishop, Ly &c.
& Hamblen & Ors.
vs } Decree
Rehl & Bishops

Enter this decree

Sept 1889.

Hamblin & Ass
vs } In Chy
R.A. Bishop
&
Dora C Bishop
vs In Chy
R A Bishop.

This cause came on this day to be heard upon the papers in this cause and the Report of the Special Commissioner, appointed for the purpose of collecting the Rents on the Lands in the Bill mentiond, and to report said land pursuant to a former decree in these cause and was argued by Counsel, ~~and~~ & said report being accepted to ~~in pursuance of said cause~~ It is ordered adjudged and decreed that said Commissioners Report be hereby confirmed, and that he be ~~hereby required~~ to take the with approved personal security notes of Jno A.G. Hyatt for the rental value of said place, the first to become due & payable on the 1st day of Jany 1889. the 2d due & payable Jany 1st 1890. the 3d due & payable Jany 1st 1891. the 4th due & payable Jany 1st 1892. the 5th

due & payable Oct 1st 1892. at which time said lands may be Redeemed up by said Hyatt and the said Commissioner is required to collect said amounts annually as they come due & pay out the same as directed by the former decree in this cause and report from time to time his action in the matter to this Court & the cause is Continued.

Hamblen & Co
vs
R. H. Bishop
Asst

Bond & Bishop
vs
R. H. Bishop

Nov Term 1887

Entered Page 103
C. O. No. 3
J. G. Scott c.c.
Clerk
1st Feb 1888
Dec 9th 1887

Dora C. Bishop by &c.
vs
Robert A. Bishop
and
Hamblin & Orr
vs
Robert A. Bishop

In Chy.

These causes came on this day by counsel of the Pltfs in each to be heard upon the bills and exhibits filed therewith and the depositions of witnesses the due execution of the orders of publication for the time required by law before the commencement of this cause and the levying of the Attachment in the case of Dora C. Bishop by &c vs R. A Bishop upon the land in the bill and proceedings mentioned and upon argument of counsel, and said Robert A Bishop still failing to appear plead or answer in either of said causes each of said Bills is taken for confessed. And it appearing from the Bill and exhibits in the case of Hamblin & Orr vs Robert A Bishop that they have a judgment duly docketed in the county court clerks office of this county for $113 67/100 with interest thereon from the 11th day of June 1881 until paid & $7 50/100 costs & that the same was docketed April 12th 1883 it is therefore adjudged ordered & decreed that the plaintiffs - Hamblin & Orr recover of said Robert A. Bishop said sum of $113 67 with interest and the costs of this suit and costs so aforesaid and that the same is a

a prior
lein on the lands in the bill & exhibits mentioned.
And it also appearing to the court from
the Bill of the plaintiff Dora E. Bishop &c. &
said Robert A. Bishop and from the depositions
filed therewith, and the return of the allotment
by law
issued in this case on the lands in said bill and
proceedings mentioned the 3rd day of January 1887. that said
allotment is a lien ~~on~~ and in favor of said
plaintiff Dora E. Bishop as of that date, that she
is the wife of said Robert A Bishop and
was by him as issue of said marriage
a daughter Pearl Bishop, now four years
of age, and that her husband deserted
her more than 4 years ago leaving
her & her said child without any
means of support, and it appearing
appearing that said desertion
was not provoked by the bad
conduct of the plaintiff & that ~~the~~
~~injunction~~ character is unassailable
it is adjudged ordered and decreed
for herself & her daughter Pearl,
that she is entitled, to maintenance
out of the the estate of of said
Robert A Bishop at the rate of
One hundred and twenty five dollars
per year ~~from~~ from the institution of
this suit, and it is further adjudged
that she be allowed One hundred

dollars to pay the expenses of this litigation & the present ~~receivership~~ receivership:
and it is further adjudged that out of said One hundred dollars the costs of this suit & $20.00 to A L Pridemore Atty for be paid first, and the residue thereof subject to such provisions limitations & conditions as shall hereinafter ~~in this~~ decree be written,
And it also appearing to the court that the land in the bill & proceedings mentioned is worth from $200.00 to $250.00 per year rent, it being so stated and that ~~the same has already been rented by said Robert A Bishop,~~ it is adjudged ordered and decreed that John M Morgan be appointed a receiver herein & required to execute bond in the penalty of $600.00 with good security conditioned according to law whose duty it is to proceed to collect said Robert A Bishop & distribute the same, by paying out of the first the costs of the Ch suit of H Hamblin Ex vs Robert A Bishop and 2nd the costs of the suit of Dora L. Bishop by Pr Ds same and third distribute the residue pro rata between the $100.00 loan in decree said Dora L. Bishop by Pr for her costs & ~~reasonable~~ reasonable counsel fee in each of said suits and the debt of Hamblin Ex vs said R. A Bishop, & when said receiver has thus ascertained the residue of the sums herein decreed, he will add to that the 125.00

herein provided for the annually accruing
maintenance of Dora E. Bishop & child for
the first year from the institution of
this suit; & when so ascertained will
rent the land in Dills proceedings made
and for a period long enough
to pay said several sums on a credit
annually maturing equal installments of
said rents provided it do not exceed
five years rents — His rents shall
be on a court day to the highest
bidder at public outcry at the front
door of the court house of this
county after notice of the
time place & terms thereof has
been duly posted at said courthouse
& in 3 or more places in the
vicinity of the land — & if rents
is realized as past due must be
will reserve enough cash to pay
the taxed costs of these two suits
& his commission on the gross sum
But before this decree becomes
effective for Dora E. Bishop by
her next friend or some one for
him shall & execute a bond in
the penalty of $500.00 conditions according
to the requirements of Ch 148 Sec 24 p 1015
V. C. 1873. the plaintiff Dora E. Borden
by &c has leave to file her amended bill
& it is so ordered all other questions are reserved
& this cause is continued.

Entered Dec 18th A.D. 1884

Continued Aug 20 1884
Jan 13 1885
Jan 26 1885

Dora C. Bishop by &c Plff } In Chy.
vs
Robert A. Bishop Defdt }

The deposition of C. T. Duncan & others, taken in favor of the Plaintiff in the above Styled cause - at the Clerk's office of Lee County, Circuit on the 11th March 1887.

C. T. Duncan a witness of lawful age being duly sworn deposes and says - - - -

Question first by plff.

Please state all you any know of the character, standing, and means of support - of Mrs Bishop, how long since R. A. Bishop has been gone from this County, your opportunity for knowing, and whether or not you know or have ever heard of any cause given by Mrs Bishop for her husbands desertion?

Ans. I am well acquainted with Dora C Bishop I have known her and her family since the year 1872. at which time I moved to this County. Dora was at that time a little girl, not nearly grown. for several years

of the period from 1872 down, Wm A
M Baker lived in Jonesville and during
all that time I was very intimately ac
quainted with Dora She was frequently
at my house and I was often at her
fathers, I saw her almost daily up
to the time She was married which
occurred while she lived in Town
I always regarded her as a modest
well behaved girl and young lady
Since her marriage to Robert C
Bishop I have known her well
but not so intimately as before for
the reason that after her marriage
She has not lived for any time in
consequence in Jonesville. Though
I think I can say that I have known
and now know her character well
as I am well acquainted with her
and the neighborhood in which she
lives and with the people in that
neighborhood. and I never at any
time heard aught said against her
character. Her father W A M Baker
is a comparatively poor man, he
or he and his wife own a small
farm on which they live lying
on the South side of Powells River

some 3 or 4 Miles from Jonesville
This farm is worth something like
$2,000. but is somewhat incumbered
but I do not know to what extent
He is a disabled man, having re-
ceived a severe wound during the
late War which has rendered him
incapacitated for anything like
full manual labor. Dora has no
property of her own, and no means
of support. Save what her father
gratuitously furnishes her. She
has as the fruits of her marriage
a beautiful little girl about five
years old This little girl is in
her charge and has been since
the said Robert A Bishop abandoned
his family which occurred
about 4 years ago. Robert A.
Bishop is not a citizen of this
State but is somewhere in the
West roaming from place to
place as I
hear without any settled place
of abode or any settled occupa-
tion.

Are you acquainted with the Isaac
T. Bishop farm, now owned by Robert
A. Bishop under the will of his
father and situated on Nitro Cave

if so - would you, regard the same
as more than an adequate support
for Mrs Bishop and her child or not.
Ans. I am tolerably well acquainted with
the Isaac T Bishop farm now owned
by Robert A Bishop. Elisha Bishop
the father of Robert. valued said farm
at about $4.000. but I regard this as
a rather high price. My best opin-
ion of said farm is that its fair cash
value is not more than $3000. perhaps it
might sell for $3500. but I hardly
think so. Perhaps said farm would
rent for ~~rent for~~ $200. per year
but I hardly think so. I do not
think that said farm is more than
an adequate support & maintenance
for Mrs Bishop and her little child
or that it would be more than she
ought under the circumstances to be
allowed.
And further this deponent saith not
C. T. Duncan.

D. B. Martin an other witness
of lawful age being duly sworn
deposes and says -
I have heard the statement

2

read

just made by Judge Duncan and fully Concur in said Statements, except that the rental value of the land is rather high— I reside very near the Plaintiff Mrs. Dora C. Bishop and have known her all her life— and I know her to be a high toned lady in ever respect, and that her reputation and general character is of the very highest, and that she has no means of support except that derived from her disabled father Wm. A. M. Baker. And I know of no cause given by Mrs Bishop for the desertion of her husband R. A. Bishop, who is a reckless fellow.

And further this deponent saith not.

Samuel B. Martin

The foregoing deposition was taken, Sworn to and subscribed before me at the time & place & for the purposes mentioned in the caption. J. A. S. Hyatt Comm in Chy for Lee Co.

Dorcas C. Bishop by to
Atty Dcpo.
R. A. Bishop

C. S. Duncan
D. R. Martin.

Taken before mens
Conv. & filed March
11th 1887.
J. A. L. Hyatt CC

Conv fee $2.50

Virginia Lee County to wit:
This day Dora E. Bishop personally appeared before me the undersigned and made oath that she is well acquainted with the Isaac. T. Bishop farm and knows its estimated value - That she has present cause of action for support and maintenance against her husband Robert A Bishop and that she verily believes she ought to and has right to recover the value of said farm $4000. from her said husband to whom said lands belong -
Given under my hand this Jan. 3 1887.
John R. Gibson D.C.

Dora L. Bishop &c

vs Affidavit

Robert Bishop

Filed Jany 3 1887

J. A. Gustafson &c

Hamblin &c Ors

vs

R. A. Bishop

&

Dora C. Bishop &c Ors

vs

Robt A. Bishop.

To the Hon W. S. K-

Morrison Judg - of the Circuit Court

of Lee County Va.

At the March Term of said Court

1887. I was appointed a Special Com-

missioner to rent the lands in the

Bills mentioned in the above Styled

causes, And Special receiver to receive the Rents due

on said lands for the past year.

in pursuance of a decree then

entered of record. Your Commis-

sioner ~~States~~ in compliance with

the terms of said decree he adver-

tised said lands for 30 days and

and proceeded on the first day

of the 8th Term of the County

Court of said County 1887, at pub-

lic outcry to rent to the highest

bider said lands for the period of

five years, said rents to be paid

in each annually - in instalments

of One hundred & Thirty Dols Each

at said time and place of Sale Jno A. G. Hyatt became the best bidder for said renting and said lands was declared rented to him for a period of five years from the first day of July 1885 for the sum of $650.00 payable in 5 equal instalments, & the Bonds are here filed & Marked 1-2-3-4-5.

And as reciver I have received the following sums, to wit for 70 Bushels of oats at 27cts pr Bus 18.90
19 Bus Wheat at 70cts " " 13.30
210 " Corn for 54.70
59 " Nubbin corn for 8.00

Total Amt Received $94.90

Out of which I have paid the Costs of the Two above Styled chancery suit as was required in said decree amounting in the aggregate to $70.89. 70.89
And retained My Commission on the whole to 23.75 94.64

Bal in hands of reciver .26

The object of your commissions in renting said lands for the whole term of 5 yrs was that more could be thereby realized on the Annual rents & would the better enable a man

who should rent it to protect and preserve the soil of Saids.
For the temporary renter from year to year would necessarily cause him to strain said lands with those grains which would be most injurious to the soil, While the renter for a period of 5 yrs would necessarily grass & improve said lands at least to some extent

Respectfully Submitted
Jas M. Morgan.

Hamblin & Ors.

vs

R. A. Bishop

Dora C Bishop by &c

vs

Dora C. Bishop.

Filed Nov. 15th 1887

J. A. G. Hyatt Cle

Hamblen + Orr vs R. A. Bishop - In Chancery
Dora C Bishop vs Same - In Chancery.

To the honorable H. S. K. Morison Judge of the circuit court of Lee county Va.

Your commissioner in these causes respectfully reports, that since his last report in these causes he has collected from Mr Hyatt, who rented the land, the amt due from him Jany 1st 1889. $130.00
and the amt due Jany 1st 1890. 130.00
Total Coll $ 260.00
Amt in Comr's hands last report .26
$ 260.26

Out of said first Collection Jany 1st 1889. he paid taxes, 1888 - $71.05
1/2 & taxes for 1887 - 20.92
3. To Hamblen + Orr's attys Jany 7/89. 109.08
4. and since then he has pd taxes 1889. 18.35
5. and to Dora C Bishop, the 26 cts, .26
Bal in Comr's hands to square 90.65
$ 260.26

Bal as above subject to your honor's order - April 1st 1890. $90.65

Your Comr further reports that the plff Dora C Bishop + the deft Robert A Bishop have by agreement sold the said land to Mr Hyatt, but have not so far as he knows, agreed as to whom the above bal of $90.65 shall be paid, and your Comr asks your honor's direction in regard thereto, and that the said renting

as to the balance of the term be annulled, and he directed to cancel and deliver up to Mr Hyatt his three remaining notes for said rents.
Respectfully submitted.

Jno M. Morgan.
Comr.

Supplement to report-

Your Comrs in further report that the residue of the amount due Hamblen & Co's has not been paid them or any part thereof- & is therefore due said firm-

Your Comrs also herewith convey with this report- the deeds of Doric C, & R A Bishop to said Hyatt and the contract between the same parties-

Respectfully submitted
J. M. Morgan
Comr

Dora C Richardson
vs
R A Richardson
~~Hamilton Co~~
vs
The Same

Comr's Report
April 1890

Mr Bishop Elisha — District No. 1

1888. To J. P Graham. Treasurer of Lee County, Dr.

2 TRACTS.		State Tax, 30 cents on $100.	County Levy, 50 cents on $100.	County School Tax, 10 cents on $100.	District School Tax, 10 cents on $100.	State School Tax, 25 cents on $100.	Poll Tax.	TOTAL AMOUNT OF TAXES.
To 256½ acres land, value, $	1576	4 58	7 87	1 82	1 82	1 82	2 00	18 87
Property, income, etc. .								
Capitation Tax, . .								
County School Tax, . .								
District School Tax, .								
State School Tax, . . .								
Total, ...								

Received Payment in full, J. P Graham & Co Treasurer. $18.87

1887

amt paid $12.81
Int 1.19
$21.00

(a)

25-00
1881
Oct 19

Top

Mr. Bishop Elisha District No. 1

1887. To J. P. Graham, Treasurer of Lee County, Dr.

2 TRACTS.		State Tax, 30 cents on $100.		County Levy, 50 cents on $100.		County School Tax, 10 cents on $100.		District School Tax, 10 cents on $100.		State School Tax, 10 cents on $100.		TOTAL AMOUNT OF TAXES.	
To 256 acres land, value, $	1575	4	55	7	88	1	51	1	52	1	52	18	97
Property, income, etc. .													
Capitation Tax, . .													
County School Tax, . .													
District School Tax, .													
State School Tax, . . .													
Total, ...													

Received Payment in full, ... Treasurer.

18.97
.95
19.92

Received of J. A. G. Hyatt Nineteen Dollars and 92 cents in full of the within ticket, March 1st 1888.

G. W. Garrett, D. S.

Int to Jany 1st 1889 $1.00

No 2.

Received of John M Morgan Commissioner in the Chancery causes of Hamblen v Orr against - R. A. Bishop and Dora C Bishop ly &c against - said R. A. Bishop, pending in Lee County Circuit Court. One hundred and nine dollars & eight-cents on claim of Hamblen v Orr in said causes.

June 7th 1889.

Richmond & Orr attys
for Hamblen v Orr.

This is for list of costs
ex. J. M. C. Hyatt Com
June 12th 1889.

R & O.

J. M. Acct
To Receipt
1/09 9
J M Morgan

No 3.

(X)

MR. Bishop Elisha X District No. 1

1889. TO J. P. GRAHAM, TREASURER OF LEE COUNTY, VA. DR.

2 TRACTS.		State Tax 30 cents on the $100.	State School Tax, 10 cents on the $100.	Co. School Tax, 10 cents on the $100.	Dist. School tax 10 cents on the $100.	Road Tax, 15 cents on the $100.	County Levy 40 cents on the $100.	TOTAL AMOUNT OF TAXES.
To 241 acres land, val.	$ 156	4 55	1 52	1 52	1 52	2 28	6 08	17 47
260								
Property, income, etc.	58 9	1 76				88	2 34	5 48
Capitation Tax . . .	1	1 00						1 00
County School Tax, .			58					58
District School Tax, .				58				58
State School Tax, . .					58			58
Total, . . .			of J. A. Ashworth					17 47

VIRGINIAN POINT, WARRENTON, VA.

Received Payment in full, J. P. Graham Sr Treasurer.

$18.55

$15-26/100

Receivers of Jno M. Morgan Receiver in the causes of Hamblin & Ux vs N. A. Bishop & Dora C Bishop vs same, fifteen dolls & twenty six cts and being the $15.00 due A. L. Pridemore as Atty is indorsed in this Receipt.

Dec 9th 1887.

H. C. Bishop
by W. A. Baker C

Dora C. Bishop
Receipt 15-26

No 53

Know all men by these presents that we W. A. Baker and H. J. Morgan & J. A. & Hyatt are bound into the Commonwealth of Virginia in the sum of $1000.00 and for the prompt payment thereof we each bind ourselves heirs &c and we as to this bond waive our homestead exemption witness our hands and seals this 9th day of Dec. 1887.

The condition of the above obligation is such that whereas the above bound Wm. A. Baker who sues in the name of Dora E. Bishop by &c vs R. A. Bishop, in Chancery an foreign attachment, and in said suit obtained a decree to rent the land in the bill mentioned for the purposes set out in said Bill, Now therefore should the above bound Wm. A. Baker pay all damages and costs which may hereafter be awarded against him, on the appearance of the said Defendant upon his appearance within five years and making defense, their

then this obligation to be void otherwise to remain in full force and virtue.

P. A. Baker Seal

Henry J. Morgan Seal

J. A. Bryatt Seal

Oscar C. Bishop
vs
Bond to
Dr. Assn damages
P. A. Baker
et al

Filed Dec. 9th 1882.
J. A. Bryatt Clk.

Dora E. Bishop who sues by
William A. Baker her next friend
against

Robert A. Bishop } Lis pendens.

The object
of this suit is to obtain a sup-
port and maintainance from
the husband Robert A. Bishop
and for that purpose, the tract
of land belonging to Robert A.
Bishop, and devised to him by
his father Elisha Bishop situated
in this County, and properly de-
scribed in the deed of Isaac T.
Bishop to Elisha Bishop now
of record in this County has been
attached and so held in the Cir-
cuit Court of Lee County which
said land is bounded as follows
Beginning at a stake on the line of the
said Isaac T. Bishop and E. S. Bishop on a
divide between two sink holes in two fields
and running S. 86 W 32 poles to a stake near
the road thence S. 1 E 24½ poles to a stake
thence S 77½ W. 22½ poles thence S. 8 E. 31 poles
to a white oak R. P. Spencers here corner
thence S 83 W 37¾ poles to a white oak
L. Parotts corner thence S 6½ E 42½ poles.

with Parrots line to Bishop & Parrots corner thence S 15¾ W 55 poles to a rock on Mrs Hardy's line thence S 78 E 93 poles passing Mrs F. Pitts & Mrs Hardy corner to a stake thence S 45¼ E 56 poles to a rock on Mrs Pitts line, thence N 54 W 14 poles to a rock, thence N 86 E W 56 poles to a white oak on the Brush line, thence N. 25 E. 27 poles on an oak & cedar in a sink hole, thence N 82 E. 36 poles to a stake on the upper side of a road, thence N 35 E 18 poles to a rock & two Spanish oaks thence S 40 E 34 poles to a rock, thence N 79 E 76 poles to a mulberry Mc Nails & Pitts corners, thence N 2 W. 39 poles to a stake Spencers & Bishops' corner thence S 81 W 168½ poles to a gum, thence S 17 W 79 — to a stake in the field, then W 94 poles to the beginning said to contain 245 acres be the same more or less.

Dora C. Baker Exor

vs

R. A. Bishop

Recorded in Deed Book No 2 Page 1.
J. R. Gibson clk

Virginia Lee county Court Clerks office the 3rd day of Jany 1887. The foregoing declaration in which Dora C. Bishop's Exr is Plff. & R. A. Bishop is Defendant was this day filed in this office and admitted to record.

Teste John R. Gibson Clerk.

Know all men by these presents that we John M. Morgan and James D. Morgan are held and firmly bound unto the Commonwealth of Virginia in the just & full sum of $600.00 and for the prompt payment thereof well and truly to be paid we each bind ourselves heirs & and we as to this bond waive our homestead exemption witness our hands and seals this 15th October 1887.

The Condition of the above obligation is such that whereas the above bound John M. Morgan was appointed Comr in the Chancery Causes of Hamblen &c and Dora le Bishop &c vs R. A. Bishop, and directed to receive rents and rent the land in the bill mentioned Now therefore should the said Comr faithfully perform the duties assigned him and justly account for all sums of money he may receive as such Comr then this obligation to be void otherwise to remain in full force

John M. Morgan Seal

J. D. Morgan Seal

Defendant's Own Bill
Dora L. Bishop bgt
Court
Jus 3 Bond
N. A. Bishop

Filed Oct 15th 1887
J. A. Hyatt Clk

Virginia

In the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court for Lee County, on the 8th day of January, 1887.

Dora C. Bishop by &c Plff
vs
R. A. Bishop Dfdt
} In Chancery

The object of this suit is to obtain a support and maintainance from the husband Robert A. Bishop, and to subject the tract of land in the Bill mentioned therefor which has been attached for said purpose.

And it appearing from an affidavit filed in this cause that the defendant Robert A. Bishop is a non-resident of this State, it is therefore ordered that he appear here within one month after due publication of this order to do what may be necessary to protect his interest in this suit.

A Copy Teste

A. L. Bridamore P.C. Teste J. A. G. Hyatt c c

Dora A. Bishop byte
2nd Orders Pub.
- Robert A. Bishop

I certify that I had published in the Virginia Herald and posted true copies of this order at the C.H. door at January Term of the County Court 1847.

J. A. G. Hyatt c.c.

THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA.

To The Sheriff Of Lee County Greeting:

We Command You to Summon Robert A. Bishop

To appear at the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Lee County, at the Courthouse on the first Monday in Jany.

next being rule day to answer a bill in Chancery exhibited in our said Court against

by Dora C. Bishop who sues by

Wm A. Barker her next friend

And have then there this writ. Witness J. A. G. HYATT Clerk of our said Court at the Courthouse

This 3rd day of Jany 188[illegible], in the 10[illegible] year of the Commonwealth.

John R. Gibson D. *Clerk.*

The Commonwealth of Virginia

To The Sheriff of Lee County Greeting:

We command you to Summon Robert A. Bishop to appear at the clerk's office of the Circuit court of Lee county, at the courthouse on the first Monday in Jan. next being rule day to answer a bill in chancery exhibited in our said Court against him by Dora E. Bishop who sues by Wm A. Baker her next friend. And have then there this writ.

Witness J. T. G. Hyatt Clerk of our said court at the Courthouse this 3rd day of Jany. 1887 in the 111th year of the commonwealth.

John R. Gibson D. Clerk.

The forgoing affidavit having been made the officer serving this writ, will attach the following described land situated in Lee county Va, containing 245 acres be the same more or less, known as the Isaac V. Bishop farm, and deeded by him to Elisha Bishop & owned by the latter to Robt A Bishop see deed Book 18 ~~Book~~ P. 413 & Elisha Bishop's Will and which is bounded as follows, Beginning at a Stake in the line of the said Isaac V. Bishop and E. S. Bishop on a divide between two sink holes in two fields and running S 86 W 32 poles to a stake near the road thence S 11 ½ E 24 ½ poles to a stake thence S 77 ½ W 22 ½ poles thence S. 18 E 31 poles to a white oak R. P. Spencer's heirs corner, thence S 83 W 37 ¾ poles to a white oak L. Parrott's corner thence S 6 ½ E 64 ½ poles with L. Parrott's line to Bishop & Parrott's corner, thence S. 15 ¾ W 66 poles to a rock on Mrs Hurd's line thence S. 78 E 93 poles crossing Mrs Hurd & Mrs Hurd's corner to a stake, thence S 45 ½ E 66 poles to a rock on Mrs Hurd's line thence N 54 W 4 poles to a rock, thence N 86 ½ W 56 poles to a white oak on the Brock line, thence N 25 E 27 poles on an ash & cedar in a sink hole thence N 82 ½ E 136 poles to a stake on upper side of road. thence N 35 E 18 poles to a rock & two spanish oaks thence S 40 E 34 poles to a rock, thence N 79 E 70 poles, to a mulberry & Neal & Hurd's corner, thence N 2 W 139 poles to a stake Spencer & Bishop's corner thence S 81 W 113 ½ poles to a gum, thence S 7 W — to a stake in the field, thence W 94 poles to the beginning.

John R. Gibson D. C.

Dora E. Bishop, Pltff
vs
Isaac V. Bishop
R. A. Bishop
Lucy Parker, 1887.

Executed, by delivering a true and attaching the Estate of Isaac V. Bishop to the Sheriff of Lee County, Virginia Bonnaud and described as set forth in Deed Book 18 P 413. sued & S. C. Bishop to Elisha Bishop said as endorsed by the Clerk, see his endorsement on the 31st day of January, 1887.

W. Lowe Clerk
D.C. for Real Atty $2.50